

From: Jon Quass <jlquass@gmail.com>
To: <hsinger@waterboards.ca.gov>
Date: 4/23/2012 3:23 PM
Subject: Re: response to Paragraph Three order

On Mon, Apr 23, 2012 at 3:02 PM, Jon Quass <jlquass@gmail.com> wrote:

> Dear Harold,
> Thank you for the opportunity to address the "Paragraph Three
> Order" via email today. As you know I am not a big fan of orders
> anyway and find them to be rather unproductive in the long run. If we
> can keep the dialogue open between PG&E, the CAC and the Water Board,
> I see greater opportunity to accomplish much more than with "ORDERS."
> PG&E has volunteered a project that they give credit to listening
> to the Community for. No one of us can take credit here, for this has
> been a collective effort with many people involved. PG&E has gone way
> beyond the order in most areas here and it would be a shame for any of
> us to steal away their attempt to bridge the gap between themselves
> and the Hinkley Community. I feel that if you should include their
> voluntary effort into any type of order, you would be
> counterproductive to the spirit in which it was offered.
> I am asking you to refrain from including the voluntary offer by
> PG&E to the Hinkley Community from any future orders, but to please
> instead try to join the CAC in working with PG&E in open dialogue to
> further heal the Community of Hinkley from this blight that has so
> harshly plagued them. I have to constantly remind myself that I am a
> public servant and representative of the Community, that I must put my
> personal interests aside and do what is best for the Community,
> republic style. It has been to my benefit to see progress by doing so.
> Sincerely,
>
> Jon. Quass, vice-chair CAC